


JAN 16 2007

REMARKS

During a telephonic interview between the undersigned attorney and the examiner on 1/11/2007, the various rejections of the claims were discussed with respect to the present invention and the cited prior art references. At the conclusion of the telephonic interview, it was agreed that Maughan does not appear to teach or suggest providing a first payload of a control message, wherein the first payload includes explicit reason information relating to at least one reason relating to the generation of the control message, and wherein the at least one reason includes at least one reason selected from a group of reasons consisting of: a user initiated reason, an expired lifetime reason, and a no error reason.

Accordingly, it is believed that independent claims 1, 7, 14, 19, 26, 34, 40, 53, and 54 are neither anticipated by nor obvious in view of Maughan and/or other cited prior art of record, and are therefore believed to be allowable. Additionally, each of the presently pending dependent claims is also believed to be allowable since it depends upon a respective independent claim.

Respectfully submitted,
BEYER WEAVER LLP


Dean E. Wolf
Reg. No. 37,260

P.O. Box 70250
Oakland, CA 94612-0250
(510) 663-1100